1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE EXECUTIVE ETHICS BOARD						
2							
3	In the Matter of:	EEB Case No. 2015-055					
4		FINAL ORDER					
5	Respondent						
6							
7	I PROCE	DID II IIICEDDI					
8	I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY						
9	On March 24, 2017, the Executive	Ethics Board (Board) found reasonable cause to					
10	believe that the Respondent	violated the Ethics in Public Service Act while					
11	employed as a Custodial Supervisor at Wenatchee Valley College (WVC). Notice of the						
12	Reasonable Cause Determination and the right to request a hearing was served upon						
13	by regular mail and certified mail on March 27, 2017.						
14	Reasonable Cause Determination within 30 days as required by WAC 292-100-060(2).						
15	The Board entered an Order of Default on July 14, 2017. On July 17, 2017, Board staff						
16	provided with notice of the Board's Order of Default by regular and certified mail.						
17	Pursuant to WAC 292-100-060(4)	was allowed 10 days to request vacation					
18	of the Order of Default.	t moved to vacate the order entered on July 14,					
19	2017.						
20	II. FIND	INGS OF FACT					
21	1. hired by WVC on	August 13, 2012 as a Custodial Supervisor and					
22	was in that position until his resignation fr	om state service on June 3, 2016.					
23	resignation was not related to this or any other complaint filed against him.						
	2. On February 20, 2015, the SA	AO received a whistleblower report alleging that					
24	was using state his state computer	er to listen to music and watch videos for many					
25	hours during his workday. After receiving th	e whistleblower complaint, the SAO requested a					

copy of work computer hard drive for analysis of the alleged non-work related internet activity.

3. The SAO investigators reviewed internet activities for the period of February 2014 through March 2015. The significant amount of non-work related internet activity occurred between the periods of December 21, 2014 through March 16, 2015. See the chart below for details of their findings:

Month/Year	Entertainment /News	Pandora/YouTube Music Videos	Shopping/ Guns	Other	Total Minutes
December 2014	0	154	67	16	237
January 2015	50	133	95	0	278
February 2015	194	320	19	11	544
March 2015	<u>269</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>371</u>
Total Minutes	513	666	196	55	1,430

- was shown his non-work related internet history (above) by the SAO investigator. admitted to the non-work related internet usage. SAO investigator that he mostly streamed music or YouTube videos in the background as he was completing his paperwork.
- 5. On August 5, 2015, Board staff requested a copy of computer hard drive from WVC. On September 2, 2015, Board staff received actual hard drive by US Mail from WVC. On September 10, 2015, Board staff made a forensic copy of the hard drive to be used for analysis. On June 8, 2016, the forensic copy of hard drive was analyzed using Internet Evidence Finder (IEF) software.
- 6. For the period of January 2014 through March 16, 2015, Board staff found the following non-work related internet activity by

7

5

9

24

25 26 PANDORA Internet Radio (January 13, 2014 through March 9, 2015)

Month/Year	No. of visits	No. of days	No. of days worked
January 2014	11	10	15
February 2014	3	3	20
July 16, 2014	1	1	23
December 2014	13	7	16
January 2015	10	7	19
February 2015	9	10	15
March 2015	2	2	5
TOTALS	49	40	113

Other non-work related Internet sites visited (December 24, 2014 through March 16, 2015):

- Entertainment/News
 - o YouTube.com
 - o MSN
 - o Rollingstone.com
 - o Kpopstarz.com
 - o Huffingtonpost.com
 - o Setlist.com
 - o Yardbarker.com
 - o Bloomberg.com
- Shopping
 - GunBroker.com
 - o Armslist.com
 - o Budsgunshop.com
 - o Toyotacenter.com/upcoming events
 - o Windermerewenatchee.com
 - o Realtor.com
 - o Zillow.com
 - o Sears.com
 - o Guitar-King.com
 - o AutoTrader.com
 - o Campbellsresort.com
 - o Chelanresortssuites.com
 - o Craigslist.com

1	enhances job-related skills. Additionally, state employees are allowed occasional out infinted			
2	use of state resources as long as the following conditions are met:			
3	(i) There is little or no cost to the state; (ii) Any use is brief;			
4	(iii) Any use occurs infrequently; (iv) The use does not interfere with the performance of any officer's or			
5	employee's official duties; and			
6	(v) The use does not compromise the security or integrity of state property, information, or software.			
7	3. Pursuant to chapter 42.52 RCW, the Executive Ethics Board has jurisdiction			
8	over and over the subject matter of this complaint.			
9	4. Based on the evidence, used his state issued computer and time for			
10	his private benefit or gain in violation of RCW 42.52.160.			
11	the exceptions for the use of state resources as permitted in WAC 292-110-010.			
12	5. The Board is authorized to impose sanctions for violations to the Ethics Act			
13	pursuant to RCW 42.52.360.			
14	6. In determining the appropriateness of the civil penalty, the criteria in WAC 292-			
15	120-030 have been reviewed.			
16	IV.FINAL ORDER			
17	Based on the foregoing:			
18	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Respondent I is liable for and shall pay a			
19	civil penalty of two thousand dollars (\$2,000). The payment shall be made to the Executive			
20	Ethics Board within forty-five (45) days of this Order.			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
ı	I			

V. AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470, Respondent has the right to file a Petition for Reconsideration stating the specific grounds upon which relief is requested. The Petition must be filed with the Executive Ethics Board at 2425 Bristol Court SW, Olympia, Washington 98504, or by U.S. Mail at P.O. Box 40149, Olympia, Washington 98504-0149, within ten (10) days of service of the Final Order upon Respondent.

The Petition for Reconsideration shall not stay the effectiveness of this order nor is a Petition for Reconsideration a prerequisite for seeking judicial review in this matter. A timely Petition for Reconsideration is deemed denied if, within twenty (20) days from the date the petition is filed, the Board does not (a) dispose of the petition or (b) serve the parties with a written notice specifying the date by which it will act on a petition.

Respondent has the right to petition the superior court for judicial review of the Board's action under the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW. For the requirements for filing a Petition for Judicial Review, see RCW 34.05.510 and sections following.

DATED this 8th day of September, 2017

Anna Dudek Ross, Chair

Lisa Marsh, Member

Samantha Simmons, Vice-Chair Shirley Battan, Member

John Ladenburg, Member