1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE EXECUTIVE ETHICS BOARD	
2		
3	In the Matter of:	EEB Case No. 2014-088
4		FINAL ORDER
5	Respondent	
6	(
7	* nno cr	
8	I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY	
9	On July 8, 2016, the Executive Ethics	s Board (Board) found reasonable cause to believe
10	that the Respondent, vi	olated the Ethics in Public Service Act while
11	employed with the University of Washington	Medical Center. Notice of the Reasonable Cause
12	Determination and the right to request a hear	ing was served upon by regular mail
13	and certified mail on July 11, 2016.	s failed to respond to the Reasonable Cause
14	Determination within 30 days as required by	WAC 292-100-060(2).
15	The Board entered an Order of Defaul	It on November 18, 2016. On November 22, 2016,
16	Board staff provided with notice	ce of the Board's Order of Default by regular and
17	certified mail. On December 6, 2016, Board	staff received the certified mail receipt showing
18	that took possession of the Boa	rd's Order of Default on December 2, 2016.
19	Pursuant to WAC 292-100-060(4),	s was allowed 10 days to request vacation of
20	the Order of Default.	moved to vacate the order entered on November
21.	18, 2016.	
22	II. FIND	INGS OF FACT
23	1. On November 22, 2014, th	e Executive Ethics Board (Board) received a
24	complaint referred by the State Auditor's O	ffice (SAO) alleging that
25	, former Anesthesia Technician at	the University of Washington Medical Center
26		
20		•

1	6. informed the SAO investigators that his former business partner,
2	Thomas Griffin (Mr. Griffin), who also works in the UWMC Anesthesiology Department, had
3	administrative right to these websites and that it was his former partner who accessed the sites
4	referenced above.
5	7. work schedule was Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday, 6:00 am
6	to 6:30 pm. Mr. Griffin's work schedule was Thursday and Friday, 6:00 am to 6:30 pm. The
7	site visits documented above occurred on days was working, not when Mr.
8	Griffin was scheduled to work.
9	8. Mr. Griffin told SAO investigators that he was a former business partner of Mr.
10	and that he assisted in creation of his first website, but that Mr.
11	has a new website that he did not help with. As far as Mr. Griffin knew, Mr.
12	used his personal devices (laptop, cell phone) to conduct work on the website during
13	work hours. Mr. Griffin acknowledged that was using the UWMC internet
ا 14	network to conduct work on his business website during the hours of work at UWMC.
15	9. In addition to the internet history, the SAO reviewed emails from Mr.
۱6	UW email folder from 2009 through November 18, 2013. The following is a
17	summary of the number of emails found related to outside businesses:
18	 37 emails related business in 2013. business in 2012.
19	 65 emails related business in 2011. 24 emails related business in 2010.
20	10. In addition to using his UW email account for his personal business, Mr.
21	also used the UW email system for other personal use such as sending and receiving
22	personal photos, videos, contacting sellers on Craigslist, receiving purchase confirmations for
23	purchases made on eBay, and sending divorce and loan documentation.
24	
25	
26	

1	11. Board staff reviewed emails for the period of January 2, 2010	
2	through December 2, 2013. The following is a summary of the number of emails that were	
3	determined to be personal or business related: • Craigslist – 15	
4	NW Fitness Magazine - 83 Williams Productions - 144	
5	 Tonia Williams (personal) – 61 Personal loan – 111 	
7	 Other personal – 22 Stock market alerts – 95 	
8	12. Co-workers of told SAO investigators that spent a	
9	lot of time working on his outside business but that he would use his personal phone and	
10	laptop. They knew he was working on his outside business because it was all he talked about.	
11	III.CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
12	1. RCW 42.52.160(1) – Use of persons, money, or property for private gain, states:	
13 14 15	No state officer or state employee may employ or use any person, money, or property under the officer's or employee's official control or direction, or in his or her official custody, for the private benefit or gain of the officer, employee, or another.	
16	2. Under WAC 292-110-010 (use of state resources) state employees may use state	
17	resources for personal use as long as the use is reasonably related to the conduct of the state	
18	employees; authorized by an agency head or designee as related to an official state purpose; or	
19	for a specific use that promotes organizational effectiveness or enhances job-related skills.	
20	Additionally, state employees are allowed occasional but limited use of state resources as long	
21	as the following conditions are met ¹ :	
22	(i) There is little or no cost to the state; (ii) Any use is brief;	
23	 (iii) Any use occurs infrequently; (iv) The use does not interfere with the performance of any officer's or employee's official duties; and 	
24	employee's official duties, and	
25 26	The rule was amended effective April 1, 2016. This language reflects the rule as in effect during the time of the allegations above.	

- (v) The use does not compromise the security or integrity of state property, information, or software.
- 3. Pursuant to chapter 42.52 RCW, the Executive Ethics Board has jurisdiction over and over the subject matter of this complaint.
- 4. Based on the evidence included in the SAO investigation, used state computer resources for his private benefit or gain in violation of RCW 42.52.160. Mr. activities do not meet the permitted uses or exception for the use of state resources as provided for in WAC 292-110-010.
- 5. The Board is authorized to impose sanctions for violations to the Ethics Act pursuant to RCW 42.52.360.
- 6. In determining the appropriateness of the civil penalty, the criteria in WAC 292-120-030 have been reviewed.

IV. FINAL ORDER

Based on the foregoing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Respondent is liable for and shall pay a civil penalty of three thousand dollars (\$3,000). The payment shall be made to the Executive Ethics Board within forty-five (45) days of this Order.

V. AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470, Respondent has the right to file a Petition for Reconsideration stating the specific grounds upon which relief is requested. The Petition must be filed with the Executive Ethics Board at 2425 Bristol Court SW, Olympia, Washington 98504, or by U.S. Mail at P.O. Box 40149, Olympia, Washington 98504-0149, within ten (10) days of service of the Final Order upon Respondent.

The Petition for Reconsideration shall not stay the effectiveness of this order nor is a Petition for Reconsideration a prerequisite for seeking judicial review in this matter. A timely Petition for Reconsideration is deemed denied if, within twenty (20) days from the date the

1	petition is filed, the Board does not (a) dispose of the petition or (b) serve the parties with a
2	written notice specifying the date by which it will act on a petition.
3	Respondent has the right to petition the superior court for judicial review of the Board's
4	action under the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW. For the requirements for filing a Petition
5	for Judicial Review, see RCW 34.05.510 and sections following.
6	DATED this 24 th day of March, 2017
7	
8	
9	Arma Dudak Basa Chain Lisa March Marchan
10	Anna Dudek Ross, Chair Lisa Marsh, Member
11	
12	Q pholic
13	Samantha Simmons, Vice-Chair John Ladenburg Sr., Member
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	