Administrative Hearings presiding pursuant to RCW 42.52.500, and an Order on Prehearing
Conference was issued on August 29, 2013. A status conference was held on February 24,
2014, and an order issued on February 26, 2014. A subsequent status conference was held on
March 1, 2014, and an order issued on April 1, 2014. All parties participated in the status
conferences.

- 1.4 After due and proper notice, a hearing was held before the Board. The hearing was held at the Board offices at Bristol Court in Olympia, Washington, convening on April 10, 2014 at 9:00 AM. ALJ Haenle conducted the proceedings, and Board Chair Lisa Marsh and members Matthew Williams III, Anna Dudek Ross, and Samantha Simmons were present. Also present was Bruce L. Turcott, Assistant Attorney General, legal advisor to the Board, and Board clerk Ruthann Bryant.
- 1.5 Board staff was represented by Chad C. Standifer, Assistant Attorney General. The Board's Executive Director Melanie C. deLeon and Board Investigator David B. Killeen were also present.
 - 1.6 was present and represented herself pro se.
 - 1.7 The proceedings were recorded and open to the public.
- 1.8 By written stipulation filed with the Board, the parties stipulated and agreed to the following Findings of Fact: 2.1 2.21 and 2.24 2.27.
- 1.9 Board staff offered Exhibits 1 11. All were admitted into evidence. The Board was provided copies of documents that were admitted as exhibits.
 - 1. Preliminary Investigation and Board Determination relating to dated January 11, 2013 (11 pages)
 - 2. Response to Reasonable Cause Determination dated February 5, 2013 (14 pages)
 - 3. Preliminary Investigation and Board Determination relating to John Goss dated January 11, 2013 (7 pages)
 - 4. John Goss's Response to Reasonable Cause Determination dated January 21, 2013 (10 pages)

3.4 The legislature declared, in enacting the Ethics in Public Service Act:

State officials and employees of government hold a public trust that obligates them, in a special way, to honesty and integrity in fulfilling the responsibilities to which they are elected and appointed. Paramount in that trust is the principle that public office, whether elected or appointed, may not be used for personal gain or advantage.

RCW 42.52.900.

3.5 A state employee may not use his position to secure privileges for himself or other persons under RCW 42.52.070, which states:

Except as required to perform duties within the scope of employment, no state officer or state employee may use his or her position to secure special privileges or exemptions for himself or herself, or his or her spouse, child, parents, or other persons.

3.6 A state employee may not use state resources under his official control for his own private benefit or gain, or for the private benefit or gain of another, under RCW 42.52.160 and WAC 292-110-010, which state:

No state officer or state employee may employ or use any person, money, or property under the officer's or employee's official control or direction, or in his or her official custody, for the private benefit or gain of the officer, employee, or another.

RCW 42.52.160(1).

- (1) Statement of principles stewardship. The proper stewardship of state resources, including funds, facilities, tools, property, and employees and their time, is a responsibility that all state officers and employees share. Accordingly, state employees may not use state resources for personal benefit or gain or for the benefit or gain of other individuals or outside organizations. Responsibility and accountability for the appropriate use of state resources ultimately rests with the individual state officer or state employee, or with the state officer or state employee who authorizes such use. State officers and employees should ensure that any personal use of state resources permitted by this section is the most efficient in terms of overall time and resources.
 - (2) The following are permitted uses:
- (a) Use of state resources that is reasonably related to the conduct of official state duties, or which is otherwise allowed by statute.
- (b) An agency head or designee may authorize a use of state resources that is related to an official state purpose, but not directly related to an individual employee's official duty.
- (c) An agency may authorize a specific use that promotes organizational effectiveness or enhances the job-related skills of a state officer or state employee.
 - (d) A state officer or employee may make an occasional but limited

belonged to the college. It is clear that sold the roses to the SPSCC Foundation for \$200 and kept the sale proceeds for herself. (Findings of Fact 18-21)

Creating metal signs using SPSCC welding shop equipment and facilities during work hours and trading them for valuable consideration constituted using special privileges for herself and use of state resources for private gain, even if the signs were made from steel that bought herself.

traded the two signs made in the SPSCC shop to another student, Cort, for a welding book that he valued at \$30. (Finding of Fact 22)

Finally, creating the Mini Flame sculpture using SPSCC welding shop equipment and facilities during work hours and selling it constituted using special privileges for herself and use of state resources for private gain, even the sculpture was made from scrap metal that Ms. bought herself. sold the sculpture to Dr. Wagner for \$2,826.20 and kept the sale proceeds for herself. (Finding of Fact 2.23)

- 3.9 In determining the appropriate sanction, including the amount of any civil penalty, the Board determined that the value of anything received or sought in the violation, under WAC 292-120-030(1)(b), was \$3,056.20.
- 3.10 In determining the appropriate sanction, including the amount of any civil penalty, the Board determined that the nature of the violation, under WAC 292-120-030(2)(a), (b) and (f), was continuing in nature, was motivated by financial gain, and involved personal gain or special privilege to the violator.
- 3.11 In determining the appropriate sanction, including the amount of any civil penalty, the Board determined, as an aggravating circumstance under WAC 292-120-030(3)(a) and (f), that intentionally committed the violation with knowledge that the conduct constituted a violation and that she incurred no other sanctions as a result of the violation.
- 3.12 In determining the appropriate sanction, including the amount of any civil penalty, the Board determined, as a mitigating circumstance under WAC 292-120-040(4)(c)

supervisor and

APPEAL RIGHTS

RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL ORDER - BOARD

Any party may ask the Executive Ethics Board to reconsider a Final Order. The request must be in writing and must include the specific grounds or reasons for the request. The request must be delivered to Board office within 10 days after the postmark date of this order.

The Board is deemed to have denied the request for reconsideration if, within 20 days from the date the request is filed, the Board does not either dispose of the petition or serve the parties with written notice specifying the date by which it will act on the petition. RCW 34.05.470.

The Respondent is not required to ask the Board to reconsider the Final Order before seeking judicial review by a superior court. RCW 34.05.470.

FURTHER APPEAL RIGHTS - SUPERIOR COURT

A Final Order issued by the Executive Ethics Board is subject to judicial review under the Administrative Procedure Act, chapter 34.05 RCW. See RCW 42.52.440. The procedures are provided in RCW 34.05.510 - .598.

The petition for judicial review must be filed with the superior court and served on the Board and any other parties within 30 days of the date that the Board serves this Final Order on the parties. RCW 34.05.542(2). Service is defined in RCW 34.05.542(4) as the date of mailing or personal service.

A petition for review must set forth:

- (1) The name and mailing address of the petitioner;
- (2) The name and mailing address of the petitioner's attorney, if any;
- (3) The name and mailing address of the agency whose action is at issue;

- (4) Identification of the agency action at issue, together with a duplicate copy, summary, or brief description of the agency action;
- (5) Identification of persons who were parties in any adjudicative proceedings that led to the agency action;
- (6) Facts to demonstrate that the petitioner is entitled to obtain judicial review;
- (7) The petitioner's reasons for believing that relief should be granted; and
- (8) A request for relief, specifying the type and extent of relief requested. RCW 34.05.545.

ENFORCEMENT OF FINAL ORDERS

If there is no timely request for reconsideration, this is the Final Order of the Board.

The Respondent is legally obligated to pay any penalty assessed.

The Board will seek to enforce a Final Order in superior court and recover legal costs and attorney's fees if the penalty remains unpaid and no petition for judicial review has been timely filed under chapter 34.05 RCW. This action will be taken without further order by the Board.