1 2 3 4 5 6 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE EXECUTIVE ETHICS BOARD 7 8 In the Matter of: EEB NO. 08-128 9 FINDINGS OF FACTS CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, 10 ORDER OF DEFAULT Respondent. AND FINAL ORDER 11 12 I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY 13 1.1 On April 10, 2009, the Executive Ethics Board (Board) found reasonable cause 14 to believe that Respondent violated the Ethics in Public Service Act while 15 employed with the Department of Labor and Industries (LNI), thus commencing an action for 16 alleged violations of the Ethics Act purported to have been committed in 2008. On May 28, 17 2009, requested an administrative hearing. On September 25, 2009, 18 Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Cindy Burdue issued a Pre-hearing Order setting the hearing 19 for January 8, 2010. 20 On January 12, 2010, ALJ Burdue issued a Pre-hearing Order continuing the 1.2 21 hearing date until September 10, 2010. Meanwhile, attorney, Aaron K. 22 Owada, withdrew as counsel effective June 30, 2010. was not represented by 23 counsel for the remainder of these proceedings. 24 On July 7, 2010, ALJ Burdue wrote a letter to all parties requesting a new 1.3 25 hearing date because the Board Investigator could not attend the September 10 hearing. 26

1 2	in the "petj235" profile suggest sexual solicitation WebPages were visited b. Kentvalleyhockey.com
	c. Imdb.com (Internet Movie database)
3	2.4 Internet queries stored in Internet history file that were not
4	job-related included:
5	a. Pierced nipple jewelry b. Vagina prosthesis
6	c. Nipple jewelry
7	d. Faina e. Drop the hanky
-8	f. Drop the hankie
	h. Bondage gear
9	i. Bondage collar with nipple clamps
10	2.5 The e-mail program "America Online" (AOL) was found on
11	computer and contained the folders labeled "dinoice13" (referring to his son) and "petjohn."
12	2.6 Link files found on laptop computer indicate that the original
13	files were located on the shared drive of the agency's computer network system. The names
14	of many of these files indicate that they are associated with hockey.
15	2.7 Between July 1, 2008 and October 15, 2008, accessed dozens
16	of web sites containing pornographic images including images of oral sex, bondage,
17	sadomasochism, nipple and other types of body piercings, and scantily clad young females.
18	2.8 used his state computer to draft correspondence and
19	communicate via e-mail through his personal e-mail carrier, AOL, regarding his activities as a
20	hockey coach and Athletic Director for a youth hockey club.
21	2.9 computer contained a pornographic streaming video on his
22	"P" drive – a shared drive located on the LNI network.
23	2.10 admitted that he removed his state computer from his worksite
24	and took it on a personal trip to Vancouver, British Columbia, where he used the computer to
25	access sexually explicit websites and Craigslist personal ads. During this trip,
26	son also accessed personal social networking websites.

26

- (e) Any use related to conduct that is prohibited by a federal or state
- law or rule, or a state agency policy; and

 (f) any private use of any state property that has been removed from state facilities or other official duty stations, even if there is no cost to the state.
- 3.3 Pursuant to chapter 42.52 RCW, the Executive Ethics Board has jurisdiction over and the subject matter of this complaint. The Board is authorized to impose sanctions for violations of the Ethics Act pursuant to RCW 42.52.360.
- 3.4 A state officer or employee is prohibited under RCW 42.52.160 and the Board's rules from using state property "under the officer's or employee's official control or direction, or in his or her official custody, for the private benefit or gain of the officer, employee or another."
- violated RCW 42.52.160 and WAC 292-110-010 when he extensively used his state computer to access hundreds of graphic images containing adult-oriented material, downloaded a pornographic video on his state computer, made non-work related internet queries, allowed a minor family member to use the state computer, accessed personal e-mails from his personal AOL account regarding non-work related issues, and drafted and sent correspondence and documents regarding an outside business and personal activities.
- of May 13, 2013. RCW 34.05.440(2). Petitions to vacate a default order must be filed, meaning received by the Board, no later than seven days from the date the default order is mailed. RCW 34.05.440(3) and 34.05.010(6). Mr. Petruzzelli's petition to vacate the default order was untimely.

IV. AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING FACTORS

In determining the appropriateness of the civil penalty, the criteria in WAC 292-120-030 must be reviewed. In the case at hand, aggravating factors include: (1) the violations were continuing in nature (WAC 292-120-030(2)(a)) and (2) the violations significantly reduced the public respect for, and confidence in, state government employees (WAC 292-

25

1	120-030(2)). It is also an aggravating factor that had significant supervisory
2	responsibility. No mitigating factors were identified by the Board.
3	V. ORDER OF DEFAULT AND FINAL ORDER
4	5.1 Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board
5	hereby DENIES motion to vacate ALJ Haenle's May 15, 2013 Default Order
6	and ORDERS that is in default for failing to appear at the May 14, 2013
7	Prehearing Conference.
8	5.2 filed a Chapter 13 bankruptcy petition that is currently pending
9	in United States Bankruptcy Court, Western District of Washington, Case Number 10-20990.
10	While the Board has the discretionary authority to assess a civil penalty based upon
11	violations of the Ethics Act, the Board determined that it will likely
12	not be able to collect such a penalty due to certain provisions of the federal Bankruptcy Code.
13	The Board is therefore not ordering that a civil penalty be paid in this matter.
14	DATED this 2 day of December 2013.
15	WASHINGTON STATE EXECUTIVE ETHICS BOARD
16	
17	Lisa Marsh
18	Lisa Marsh, Presiding Officer and Chair
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

APPEAL RIGHTS

RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL ORDER - BOARD

Any party may ask the Executive Ethics Board to reconsider a Final Order. The request must be in writing and must include the specific grounds or reasons for the request. The request must be delivered to Board office within 20 days after the postmark date of this order.

The Board is deemed to have denied the request for reconsideration if, within 20 days from the date the request is filed, the Board does not either dispose of the petition or serve the parties with written notice specifying the date by which it will act on the petition.

(RCW 34.05.470).

The Respondent is not required to ask the Board to reconsider the Final Order before seeking judicial review by a superior court. (RCW 34.05.470).

FURTHER APPEAL RIGHTS - SUPERIOR COURT

A Final Order issued by the Executive Ethics Board is subject to judicial review under the Administrative Procedure Act, chapter 34.05 RCW. See RCW 42.52.440. The procedures are provided in RCW 34.05.510 - .598.

The petition for judicial review must be filed with the superior court and served on the Board and any other parties within 30 days of the date that the Board serves this Final Order on the parties. (RCW 34.05.542(2)). A petition for review must set forth:

- (1) The name and mailing address of the petitioner;
- (2) The name and mailing address of the petitioner's attorney, if any;
- (3) The name and mailing address of the agency whose action is at issue;
- (4) Identification of the agency action at issue, together with a duplicate copy, summary, or brief description of the agency action;
- (5) Identification of persons who were parties in any adjudicative proceedings that led to the agency action;

- (6) Facts to demonstrate that the petitioner is entitled to obtain judicial review;
- (7) The petitioner's reasons for believing that relief should be granted; and
- (8) A request for relief, specifying the type and extent of relief requested. Service is defined in RCW 34.05.010(19) as the date of mailing or personal service.

ENFORCEMENT OF FINAL ORDERS

If there is no timely request for reconsideration, this is the Final Order of the Board. The Respondent is legally obligated to pay any penalty assessed.

The Board will seek to enforce a Final Order in superior court and recover legal costs and attorney's fees if the penalty remains unpaid and no petition for judicial review has been timely filed under chapter 34.05 RCW. This action will be taken without further order by the Board.