BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE EXECUTIVE ETHICS BOARD

In the Matter of:

Cheri Carter,

EEB Case No. 2014-95

FINAL ORDER

Respondent

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On September 11, 2015, the Executive Ethics Board (Board) found reasonable cause to believe that the Respondent, Cheri Carter, violated the Ethics in Public Service Act while employed as a Medicare Certification Specialist with the Department of Health (DOH). Notice of the Reasonable Cause Determination and the right to request a hearing was served upon Ms. Cater by regular mail and certified mail on September 11, 2015. On September 24, 2015, Ms. Carter was provided a copy of the Reasonable Cause Determination and the request to respond to her email address. Ms. Carter failed to respond to the Reasonable Cause Determination within 30 days as required by WAC 292-100-060(2).

The Board entered an Order of Default on September 9, 2016. On September 12, 2016, Board staff provided Ms. Carter with notice of the Board's Order of Default by regular and certified mail.

Pursuant to WAC 292-100-060(4) Ms. Carter was allowed 10 days to request vacation of the Order of Default. Ms. Carter has not moved to vacate the order entered on September 9, 2016.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Ms. Carter has worked for the DOH since January 16, 2011 and was so employed for all times pertinent to this investigation. She voluntarily separated from state service on November 18, 2014.

1

In March of 2014 Ms. Carter's supervisor became aware of her use of state
 computer resources to support her outside business as a consultant with May Kay Cosmetics.
 DOH conducted a preliminary internal investigation into the allegation. The investigation
 concluded that Ms. Carter had been using state resources; including email, computer, printer,
 and time for her personal use, including use supporting her outside business as a Mary Kay
 Cosmetics consultant.

3. As a result of the preliminary internal investigation the DOH Human Resource
Department (HR) contracted with an outside company, Emphasis Technography LTD (ET), to
conduct a forensic examination of Ms. Carter's work computer.

4. On May 1, 2014, DOH HR provided ET with one computer optical disk (DVDROM) containing various files from January 2012 through June 2014, for Ms. Carter's work
computer and system. The DVD-ROM contained four primary folders: "C drive" containing
1,093 files and 389 MB of Data; "Emails" containing 2 files and 0.99 MB of data; "H drive"
containing 5,799 files and 2.09 MB of data; and "Internet" containing 7 files and 38.8 MB of
data.

16 5. ET's analysis of the DVD-ROM found an abundance of evidence to support
17 the allegations. The details of ET's analysis are shown below:

<u>C-Drive</u>

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The "C-drive" folder contains 389 MB of data consisting of 1,093 files. The contents of the files contained in the "C drive" folder appeared to be consistent with DOH business and within the scope of Ms. Carter's assigned duties related to DOH activities.

Emails

The folder "email" contains 0.99 MB of data consisting of two files. The contents were reviewed and the following non-DOH business email messages were found within two subfolder files:

"CDPTL"-contained 418 emails that were identified as non-work related. They included emails and attachments related to school for the children of Ms. Carter, personal mortgage refinance, Mary Kay Cosmetics, advertising and activities, personal tax documents, vacation documents, and psychological and medical treatment records regarding Ms. Carter's child.

"Cheri.Carter.pst"- ET reviewed all of the domain folders within this file. Two folders of interest were identified, "PERS REFERENCE" and "Sent items." There were various subfolders within these two primary folders in which 710 email messages were located that did not appear to be work related.

"2009 2011 TAX STUFF"-contains 25 documents that were scanned related to the personal tax information and the refinance of a personal mortgage of Cheri and Horace M. Carter, Ms. Carter's husband.

"2012 TAX INFO" – contains 10 documents that were scanned related to the personal information of Cheri and Horace "Michael" Carter.

"2013 IRS Taxes" – empty.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

24

26

"C S MOD 2012" – contains 46 emails and documents related to a child support 13 modification for Ms. Carter.

"info" – contains 524 emails and documents related to Ms. Carter and her daughter as well as Mary Kay Cosmetics business activities.

"KMM" – contains 92 emails and scanned documents related to Ms. Carter's daughter.

"Vacation" – contains five emails and documents regarding vacation activities of Ms. Carter.

19 "A new job MC" – contains four emails related to a job search for Michael Carter, Ms. Carter's husband.

"ASPEN OASIS STUFF" - contains 10 emails and documents related to the personal
 and financial activities of Ms. Carter including forwarding messages and documents to a web
 based email address, cl.carter@hotmail.com.

23 "BELLEVUE COLLEGE" – contains five emails and documents related to student loans and enrollment for Ms. Carter.

In total 1,228 emails or documents were located which do not appear to be work related.
 Many of the emails and documents were related to Mary Kay Cosmetics.

FINAL ORDER EEB No. 2014-0095 (Cater)

1 || <u>H Drive</u>

2 The folder "H drive" contains 2.09 GB of data consisting of 5,799 files. There are 21 subfolders arranged primarily as letters of the alphabet. There are four additional folders with 3 specific names. The contents of the folders were reviewed and 1,543 documents consisting of 499 MB of data were found which appeared to be related to personal or outside business 4 activities of Ms. Carter. There are extensive amounts of files and documents relating to the independent sale business, Mary Kay Cosmetics. There are also numerous files relating to the 5 personal matters of Ms. Carter, including medical bills and claims for Ms. Carter and her 6 children related to a motor vehicle collision, behavior and drug rehabilitation documents for family members, vacation and travel documents, children's school documents and personal 7 financial records.

Some of the significant sub-folders found on the H drive with extensive amounts of personal documents are shown below:

¹⁰ Sub-Folders

8

9

- 11 \mathbf{A} Contains 61 personal documents, consisting of 19.1 MB of data. The documents are related to medical treatment of family members, apartments for rent, and Mary Kay Cosmetics.
- B Contains 10 personal documents, consisting of 4.7 MB of data. The documents are related
 to personal budget, Bank CDs for her daughter, and bank court papers related to child support.
- C- Contains 4 folders with 226 documents, consisting of 74.9 MB of data. The documents are
 related to a car accident March 11, 2013, child support worksheets, and Mary Kay Cosmetics.
- H Contains 45 personal documents, consisting of 7.29 MB of data. The documents are mostly related to different types of hair styles and color.
- 18 || K Contains 4 folders with 300 personal documents, consisting of 81.9 MB of data. The documents are mostly related to her daughter.
- M Contains 12 folders with 301 personal documents consisting of 84.5 MB of data. The documents are mostly related to the sale and promotion of her outside business with Mary Kay Cosmetics.
- 22 P Contains 1 folder with 109 personal documents and pictures, consisting of 43.7 MB of data. Some of these are related to Mary Kay Cosmetics products.
- V Contains 28 personal documents, consisting of 11.5 MB of data. The documents are mostly related to vacations taken from February 2011 to August 2013.

²⁵ A small example is Shown Below:

1							
	Title	Folder	Document	Last	Printed	Length	Time
2	- · · · · ·		type	saved		(pages)	edited
	5 minute Business	M/BEAUTY	Word	9/19/13 at 9:37 am		1	1 min
3	opportunity 50 years	STUDENTS	XV	9.37 am 9/20/13 at		2	1 min
4	50 FACES	M/BEAUTY	Word	9/20/15 at 10:05 am		2	1 11111
4	TRACKING SHEET	STUDENTS	Word	10/19/12	10/19/12	2	1 min
5	Glamor with John Maxwell	M/BEAUTY STUDENTS	word	at 12:34	at 12:33	2	1 111111
5	Maxwell	STUDENTS		pm	pm		
6	MARY KAY SKIN	M/BEAUTY	Word	$\frac{1}{7/3/13}$ at	pm	7	12 min
Ĩ	CARE CLASS 8 pt	STUDENTS	Word	10:05 am		,	12 1111
7	Opening for skin care	M/BEAUTY	Word	9/12/13 at		1	1hour:54
	class SEPT 2013	STUDENTS		1:53 pm			min
8	Recruit listen to win	M/BEAUTY	Word	7/22/13 at		1	4 min
	call Cheri July 2013	STUDENTS		1:28 pm			
9	Thanks referrals 10 17-	M/RECRUITING	Word	1/23/12 at		1	1 min
10	2011	INFO		8:44 am			Hand Brook and Balance
10	Want women want	M/RECRUITING	word	3/25/13 at		2	6 min
11	Marketing Survey	INFO		12:25 pm			
	Why Mary Kay	M/RECRUITING	Adobe/PDF	4/15/2013		1	
12		INFO		at 7:51			
12				am	Children (
13	YourFutureinMayKay	M/RECRUITING	Adobe/PDF	10/23/12		1	
	2010	INFO		at 10:43			
14		N CENT UNIC	TR 7 1	am			- ·
	Survey lip gloss 2013	M/SELLING INFO	Word	1/10/13 at		1	1 min
15	TIMEWICE DEDAID	M/SELLING	Word	1:03 pm 8/28/2012		1	7 min
10	TIMEWISE REPAIR	INFO	word	11:30 am		1	/ 111111
16	2011 IRS taxes	AP7 H Drive	Adobe/PDF	10/31/12		Encrypted	
17	prepared Carter	AI / II DINC	Adobe/TDT	at 3:30		Literypted	
1/	prepared Cartor			pm			and the second second
18	2012 tax docs to send	AP7 H Drive	Adobe/PDF	4/8/13 at		21	
- Ŭ	to STEELE			11:47 am			
19	10-hour-a-week-PINK-	AP7 H Drive	Adobe/PDF	2/29/12 at			
	business-plan			8:42 am		ning on g	
20				-			
					A XX7		
21	III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
22	1. RCW	42.52.160(1) – Us	a of persons	money or	nronarta.	for private o	ain states.
	$1. \text{ RCW}^2$	42.32.100(1) - 08	e of persons,	money, or	property.	for private g	am, states.
23	N	o state officer or	state empl	oyee may	employ o	or use any	
20	No state officer or state employee may employ or use any person, money, or property under the officer's or employee's						
24		official control or direction, or in his or her official custody, for					
	the private benefit or gain of the officer, employee, or another.						
25		e private benefit t	- Buint Of the		r,, o		
26							
I	1						

1	2. Under WAC 292-110-010 (use of state resources) state employees may use state				
2	resources for personal use as long as the use is reasonably related to the conduct of the state				
3	employees; authorized by an agency head or designee as related to an official state purpose; or				
4	for a specific use that promotes organizational effectiveness or enhances job-related skills.				
5	Additionally, state employees are allowed occasional but limited use of state resources as long				
6	as the following conditions are met:				
7	(i) There is little or no cost to the state;(ii) Any use is brief;				
8	(iii) Any use occurs infrequently;(iv) The use does not interfere with the performance of any officer's or				
9	employee's official duties; and				
10	(v) The use does not compromise the security or integrity of state property, information, or software.				
11	3. Pursuant to chapter 42.52 RCW, the Executive Ethics Board has jurisdiction				
12	over Ms. Carter and over the subject matter of this complaint.				
13	4. Based on the evidence included in this investigation, Ms. Carter used state				
14	computer resources for her private benefit or gain in violation of RCW 42.52.160. Ms. Carter's				
15	activities do not meet the permitted uses or exception for the use of state resources as provided				
16	for in WAC 292-110-010.				
17	5. The Board is authorized to impose sanctions for violations to the Ethics Act				
18	pursuant to RCW 42.52.360.				
19	6. In determining the appropriateness of the civil penalty, the criteria in WAC 292-				
20	120-030 have been reviewed.				
21	IV. FINAL ORDER				
22	Based on the foregoing:				
23	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Respondent Cheri Carter is liable for and shall pay a civil				
24	penalty of three thousand dollars (\$3,000). The payment shall be made to the Executive Ethics				
25	Board within forty-five (45) days of this Order.				
26					
•					

1 ||

2

3

4

5

6

V. AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470, Respondent has the right to file a Petition for Reconsideration stating the specific grounds upon which relief is requested. The Petition must be filed with the Executive Ethics Board at 2425 Bristol Court SW, Olympia, Washington 98504, or by U.S. Mail at P.O. Box 40149, Olympia, Washington 98504-0149, within ten (10) days of service of the Final Order upon Respondent.

The Petition for Reconsideration shall not stay the effectiveness of this order nor is a
Petition for Reconsideration a prerequisite for seeking judicial review in this matter. A timely
Petition for Reconsideration is deemed denied if, within twenty (20) days from the date the
petition is filed, the Board does not (a) dispose of the petition or (b) serve the parties with a
written notice specifying the date by which it will act on a petition.

Respondent has the right to petition the superior court for judicial review of the Board's
action under the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW. For the requirements for filing a Petition
for Judicial Review, see RCW 34.05.510 and sections following.

DATED this 18th day of November, 2016

17 18 19 20 21

15

16

Anna Dudek Ross, Chair

Lisa Marsh, Member

22 Samantha Simmons, Vice-Chair 23 24 25 John/Ladenburg, Member 26

Sumeer Singla, Member

FINAL ORDER EEB No. 2014-0095 (Cater)